UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,268	04/12/2007	Gaku Maruyama	062724	5644	
	38834 7590 09/28/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			EXAMINER	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			KAHN, RACHEL		
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/584,268	MARUYAMA ET AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RACHEL KAHN	1796			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>RACHEL KAHN</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Bernadette McGann</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 23 September 2009.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	<u>.</u>			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u> No.</u>				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Furukawa US 3872055.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Atty McGann called to intin the chart in the remarks filed on 6/2/09 are deficient for stapplicant's data with Examiner Alicia Toscano and SPE Reservection over Furukawa when Applicant files a response to (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contents the substance of the su</u>	quire as to how the data prese showing patentability over Furt andy Gulakowski, Examiner ago the Final rejection. ments which the examiner ag	ented in the specification and ukawa. After discussing grees to withdraw the greed would render the claims			
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached		rodic fortion and dialinio			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/RACHEL KAHN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1796			